Bulletin of Nexus
Multidisciplinary International Research Journal, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed, Open Access Journal
ISSN: 3065-7865 / Volume-3, Issue-3, March 2026

Original Article

Legal Framework for Biodiversity Conservation: A Global Perspective

Mansing Dhondiram Bisure[1] Amasiddha Lxman Birajdar[2]
[1]Faculty of Law in Vidya Pratishtan's Vasantrao Pawar Law College, Baramati. SPPU, PUNE.
Savitribai Phule Pune University PUNE.
[2]M.A. in English, LL.B., LL.M.in International Law & Human Rights.
MBA in Finance.Practicing Lawyer at High Court, Kolhapur.

Manuscript ID:
BN-2026-030347

ISSN: 3065-7865

Volume 3

Issue 3

March 2026

Pp. 235-239

Submitted: 18 Feb 2026

Revised: 27 Feb 2026

Accepted: 15 Mar 2026

Published: 31 Mar 2026

DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.20151068
DOI link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20151068

Abstract

Biodiversity conservation has emerged as a pivotal issue in modern environmental governance, primarily due to the swift decline of species, ecosystems, and genetic diversity resulting from human activities. The international legal framework for biodiversity conservation has undergone considerable evolution, especially following the adoption of the Convention on Biological Diversity, which introduced a holistic approach to conservation, sustainable utilization, and fair benefit-sharing.

This paper investigates the architecture and efficacy of international biodiversity law, with a particular emphasis on significant instruments such as the Nagoya Protocol and the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The research scrutinizes fundamental legal principles, including sustainable development, the precautionary principle, and intergenerational equity, which inform biodiversity governance on a global scale. It also assesses institutional mechanisms and the significance of international collaboration in fulfilling biodiversity obligations. Despite the presence of strong legal frameworks, obstacles such as inadequate enforcement, tensions between development and conservation, and unfair benefit-sharing persist, impeding effective implementation.

Moreover, the paper brings to light emerging trends, such as the amalgamation of biodiversity conservation with climate change strategies and the growing acknowledgment of indigenous rights and traditional knowledge. It posits that bolstering compliance mechanisms, improving coordination among international treaties, and fostering inclusive governance are crucial for enhancing conservation results. Ultimately, the paper emphasizes the necessity for a more effective and equitable global legal framework to guarantee the protection and sustainable utilization of biodiversity for both current and future generations.

Keywords: *Biodiversity Conservation, International Environmental Law, Access and Benefit Sharing, Sustainable Development, Global Governance*

Introduction

The variety of ecosystems, species, and genetic resources that make up biodiversity are crucial for maintaining ecological balance and guaranteeing human survival. However, the world's biodiversity has decreased at a rate never seen before due to industrialization, urbanization, pollution, deforestation, and climate change. The sustainability of the environment, economic expansion, food security, and human welfare are all under risk due to this deterioration. International legal frameworks have emerged to regulate human interactions with nature and support conservation efforts in light of these concerns. A significant turning point in international environmental law was the creation of the Convention on Biological Diversity, which established legally binding obligations for the preservation and sustainable use of biodiversity.

Creative Commons *(CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)*
This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations ae licensed under the identical terms.

Address for correspondence:
Mansing Dhondiram Bisure, Faculty of Law in Vidya Pratishtan's Vasantrao Pawar Law College, Baramati. SPPU, PUNE. Savitribai Phule Pune University PUNE.
Email: mansingrajebisurepatil@gmail.com

How to cite this article:
Bisure, M. D., & Birajdar, A. L. (2026). Legal Framework for Biodiversity Conservation: A Global Perspective. Bulletin of Nexus Journal, 3(3), 235–239. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20151068

Quick Response Code:

Website: https://bnir.us

This treaty highlighted state sovereignty over biological resources and promoted international cooperation by introducing a comprehensive approach that integrates environmental preservation with socioeconomic considerations. Subsequent agreements, such the Nagoya Protocol and the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, have improved the legal framework by addressing issues of biosafety, benefit-sharing, and access to genetic resources.

Despite these advancements, considerable obstacles persist in the effective enforcement of biodiversity legislation, especially in reconciling conservation efforts with developmental objectives and ensuring fair involvement of all stakeholders. This paper aims to examine the global legal framework for biodiversity conservation, its fundamental principles, institutional structures, and the challenges that continue to hinder its efficacy.

Evolution of Global Biodiversity Law

The development of international biodiversity law represents a slow shift from fragmented conservation efforts to a unified and integrated legal framework. Early international efforts, sometimes through bilateral or regional agreements, were primarily focused on protecting specific species and habitats. The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands gave priority to habitat protection, whereas instruments such as the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora addressed wildlife commerce. However, the adoption of a comprehensive plan for biodiversity protection was lacking in these accords.

A pivotal change took place with the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, which heralded the advent of contemporary environmental governance. The ratification of the Convention on Biological Diversity created an all-encompassing legal framework that includes conservation, sustainable utilization, and equitable benefit-sharing.[1] This represented a transition towards acknowledging biodiversity as a global issue necessitating coordinated international efforts.

Further advancements have reinforced the legal framework. The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety dealt with the risks associated with biotechnology, while the Nagoya Protocol concentrated on access to genetic resources and benefit-sharing.[2] Collectively, these instruments illustrate an evolving legal framework designed to tackle emerging environmental challenges.

Key International Legal Instruments

The global legal framework aimed at preserving biodiversity is primarily founded on a number of key international instruments that jointly address the challenges of conservation, sustainable use, and fair distribution of biological resources. Leading this effort is the Convention on Biological Diversity, which establishes a thorough and legally enforceable framework for biodiversity governance. This convention mandates that member states formulate national strategies, protect ecosystems, and guarantee the sustainable use of biological resources.[3]

Complementing the CBD is the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, which specifically deals with the risks linked to modern biotechnology. It establishes mechanisms such as the Advance Informed Agreement (AIA) procedure to oversee the transboundary movement of living modified organisms and underscores the precautionary principle in decision-making processes.[4]

Another important instrument is the Nagoya Protocol, which concentrates on access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits derived from their utilization. It enhances legal certainty and advocates for the rights of indigenous and local communities by acknowledging traditional knowledge.[5]

Furthermore, sector-specific agreements like the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora govern international trade in endangered species to avert overexploitation. Collectively, these instruments create an integrated global framework aimed at addressing various facets of biodiversity conservation through collaboration and legal accountability.

Principles of Biodiversity Law

The legal framework that regulates biodiversity conservation is guided by several key principles that influence international environmental governance. Among these, the principle of sustainable development stands out as particularly important, as it seeks to balance environmental protection with economic growth, ensuring that biodiversity resources are used in a manner that does not compromise the needs of future generations. This principle was prominently highlighted during the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development and continues to shape global environmental policies.[6]

[1] Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.

[2] Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, 2000; Nagoya Protocol, 2010.

[3] Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.

[4] Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, 2000.

[5] Nagoya Protocol, 2010.

[6] Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1992.

© 2026 Bulletin of Nexus| Published by: Royal International Global LLC (USA)

Another essential principle is the precautionary principle, which asserts that the lack of complete scientific certainty should not serve as a justification for delaying actions to prevent environmental degradation. This principle is especially pertinent in the context of biodiversity conservation, where irreversible harm may occur if preventive measures are postponed.[7]

Closely associated is the notion of intergenerational equity, which emphasizes the duty of the current generation to preserve biodiversity for the benefit of future generations.

The principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR) acknowledges that while all nations share the duty to safeguard biodiversity, developed countries hold a greater responsibility due to their historical roles in environmental degradation and their superior financial and technological resources.[8] Furthermore, the principle of state sovereignty over natural resources, as articulated in the Convention on Biological Diversity, asserts that nations possess the right to utilize their biological resources in accordance with their environmental policies while ensuring conservation.

Collectively, these principles establish a normative basis for the formulation, interpretation, and execution of biodiversity laws on a global scale.

Institutional Mechanisms

The successful execution of biodiversity legislation on a global scale relies on well-organized institutional frameworks that enable coordination, monitoring, and adherence. At the heart of this structure is the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), which is instrumental in fostering international environmental collaboration and aiding in the creation and enforcement of treaties related to biodiversity.[9] UNEP functions as a coordinating entity, helping nations with policy development, capacity enhancement, and environmental governance.

The institutional framework of the Convention on Biological Diversity holds particular importance. The Conference of the Parties (COP) acts as the highest decision-making authority, tasked with evaluating implementation, adopting protocols, and establishing global conservation objectives.[10] It is bolstered by subsidiary bodies like the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice (SBSTTA), which offers expert advice on scientific and technical issues.

Financial mechanisms are also vital for ensuring effective implementation. The Global Environment Facility (GEF) serves as the main funding source for biodiversity initiatives, offering financial support to developing nations to fulfil their commitments under international accords.[11] Furthermore, compliance and reporting systems mandate that states submit regular national reports, thereby improving transparency and accountability.

Despite these institutional frameworks, challenges like limited resources, poor coordination, and varying national capabilities continue to obstruct implementation. Strengthening institutional structures and improving international cooperation is essential for successful global biodiversity conservation.

Challenges in Implementation

Despite having a comprehensive global legal framework, effectively implementing biodiversity conservation laws remains a significant challenge. A major issue is the insufficient enforcement mechanisms typical of international environmental law. Most agreements, including the Convention on Biological Diversity, rely on voluntary compliance from states, with only minor penalties for non-compliance. This often leads to a gap between legal obligations and actual practices.

Another major hurdle is the conflict between economic development and biodiversity conservation. Many developing countries prioritize industrial growth, infrastructure development, and resource extraction to achieve economic progress. These activities frequently result in habitat destruction, deforestation, and pollution, which compromise conservation efforts. The difficulty of balancing developmental needs with environmental protection continues to be a complex policy challenge.

Inequitable access and benefit-sharing (ABS) also pose challenges, especially concerning the Nagoya Protocol. While the protocol aims to ensure a fair distribution of benefits from genetic resources, in practice, indigenous communities and developing nations often receive very little. Issues such as lack of awareness, insufficient legal frameworks, and exploitation by multinational corporations hinder effective implementation.

The administrative and legal intricacies add further complications to the process. Adhering to international obligations frequently necessitates comprehensive regulatory frameworks, institutional collaboration, and specialized knowledge, which may be deficient in numerous

[7] Ibid. Principle 15.
[8] Ibid. Principle 7.
[9] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 1972.
[10] Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.
[11] Global Environment Facility (GEF), 1991.

© 2026 Bulletin of Nexus| Published by: Royal International Global LLC (USA)

nations. Furthermore, the overlapping responsibilities among different international agreements can lead to confusion and inefficiency.

Moreover, global issues such as climate change, the illegal wildlife trade, and emerging technologies like genetic engineering present new threats that current legal frameworks find difficult to address effectively. These challenges underscore the necessity for enhanced enforcement, improved coordination, and more inclusive governance to guarantee the success of biodiversity conservation initiatives on a global scale.

Emerging Trends in Biodiversity Law

Biodiversity law is in a state of continuous evolution to tackle emerging environmental issues and shifting global priorities. A notable trend is the increasing integration of biodiversity conservation within climate change frameworks. International initiatives are progressively acknowledging the interdependence of ecosystem health and climate stability, resulting in policies that advocate for nature-based solutions such as afforestation, ecosystem restoration, and carbon sequestration. Instruments created under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change exemplify this convergence by associating biodiversity protection with climate mitigation and adaptation strategies.[12]

Another significant trend is the heightened acknowledgment of the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities. Contemporary biodiversity law recognizes the essential role of traditional knowledge in conservation efforts. The Nagoya Protocol explicitly includes provisions for accessing traditional knowledge and ensuring equitable benefit-sharing, thus enhancing community involvement and legal safeguards.[13]

The implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework marks a significant milestone in the governance of global biodiversity. It establishes ambitious objectives for 2030, which include safeguarding 30% of the planet's terrestrial and marine environments, rehabilitating degraded ecosystems, and curtailing biodiversity loss.[14] This framework prioritizes quantifiable results, accountability, and strengthened international collaboration.

Technological innovations are also influencing biodiversity legislation. Matters concerning digital sequence information (DSI), biotechnology, and synthetic biology are becoming increasingly significant, presenting intricate legal and ethical dilemmas related to access, ownership, and regulation.

Moreover, there is an increasing transition towards ecosystem-based and landscape-level strategies, which extend beyond conservation efforts focused solely on individual species. These strategies seek to preserve ecological integrity while promoting sustainable livelihoods. Collectively, these emerging trends signify a more inclusive, adaptive, and integrated methodology for biodiversity conservation within the realm of international law.

Recommendations

Improving the global legal framework for biodiversity conservation requires a comprehensive strategy that includes legal, institutional, and policy reforms. First and foremost, it is essential to enhance compliance and enforcement mechanisms within international agreements, such as the Convention on Biological Diversity. This can be achieved by establishing more effective monitoring systems, creating periodic review processes, and offering incentives for compliance, along with clearer consequences for non-compliance.

Additionally, it is crucial to enhance coordination among various international environmental agreements. The overlapping responsibilities of treaties often lead to inefficiencies and confusion. Promoting greater synergy between biodiversity, climate change, and trade-related agreements can result in more coherent and effective governance. In this regard, aligning biodiversity goals with climate initiatives under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change can support integrated environmental solutions.

Third, it is essential to enhance the involvement of indigenous peoples and local communities. Legal frameworks ought to guarantee the acknowledgment of traditional knowledge and the fair distribution of benefits derived from biological resources, as highlighted by the Nagoya Protocol. By empowering local communities through capacity building and legal assistance, conservation outcomes can be significantly improved.

Fourth, it is imperative to boost financial and technological support for developing nations. Strengthening mechanisms such as international funding organizations and technology transfer initiatives is necessary to facilitate the effective implementation of biodiversity laws. This support should encompass research, conservation initiatives, and sustainable development practices.

Finally, legal frameworks need to evolve in response to emerging challenges like climate change, biotechnology, and digital genetic resources. Revising current laws and adopting

[12] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1992.
[13] Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing, 2010.
[14] Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, 2022.

© 2026 Bulletin of Nexus| Published by: Royal International Global LLC (USA)

adaptable, science-based strategies will ensure that biodiversity conservation remains effective in a swiftly changing global landscape.

Conclusion

The international legal framework for the conservation of biodiversity signifies a major milestone in global environmental governance, showcasing the unified dedication of countries to safeguard the Earth's biological assets.

Instruments such as the Convention on Biological Diversity and its supplementary protocols have established a comprehensive framework for conservation, sustainable use, and equitable distribution of benefits.

Moreover, these frameworks have been instrumental in developing vital legal principles, creating institutional mechanisms, and promoting collaborative strategies that guide biodiversity governance worldwide.

However, despite these progressions, the effectiveness of biodiversity law is still constrained bynumerous challenges. Weak enforcement mechanisms, conflicts between economic development and environmental protection, along with disparities in financial and technological resources among nations hinder effective implementation. Additionally, emerging challenges like climate change, habitat fragmentation, and technological advancements present further risks that existing legal frameworks must address.

The evolving nature of biodiversity law, highlighted by recent efforts such as the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, indicates a shift towards more integrated, inclusive, and outcome-oriented approaches. There is now an increased emphasis on ecosystem-based conservation, recognition of indigenous knowledge, and measurable global targets.

Ultimately, the success of global biodiversity conservation efforts depends on strengthening legal frameworks, enhancing international cooperation, and ensuring equitable participation from all stakeholders. A comprehensive approach that aligns environmental conservation with socio-economic development is essential for achieving lasting sustainability. By reaffirming commitments and adapting to new challenges, the global community can ensure the preservation of biodiversity for both present and future generations, thereby safeguarding the ecological foundation of life on our planet.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported and guided me in the completion of this project titled “Legal Framework for Biodiversity Conservation: A Global Perspective.”

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my teachers and mentors for their valuable guidance, encouragement, and continuous support throughout the preparation of this project. Their insights helped me develop a better understanding of international laws, environmental policies, and the importance of biodiversity conservation across the world.

I am also thankful to my school/institution and library staff for providing access to important books, journals, and reference materials that greatly assisted me in completing this study.

Special appreciation goes to my parents, family members, and friends for their motivation, patience, and moral support during the course of this project.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the contributions of various authors, researchers, environmental organizations, and international agencies whose publications and reports provided valuable information and inspiration for this work.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

© 2026 Bulletin of Nexus| Published by: Royal International Global LLC (USA)