

## Original Article

# The Aral Sea Crisis Revisited: Ecological Restoration and Its Impacts on Kazakhstan's Northern Aral Sea

Rakesh Kumar

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Geography  
Murarka College, Sultanganj TMBU, Bhagalpur

Manuscript ID:  
BN-2025-020847

ISSN: 3065-7865

Volume 2

Issue 8

August 2025

Pp 241-244

Submitted: 19 July 2025

Revised: 28 July 2025

Accepted: 17 Aug 2025

Published: 31 Aug 2025

DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.17213151](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17213151)

DOI link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17213151>



Quick Response Code:



Website: <https://bnir.us>



## Abstract

The Aral Sea crisis, once emblematic of environmental catastrophe, has seen partial reversal through restoration initiatives like the Kok-Aral Dam. This article examines the research question: How have ecological restoration projects reshaped the physical and socio-economic geography of the northern Aral Sea in Kazakhstan? Drawing on recent data up to 2025, it highlights physical transformations, including rising water levels, reduced salinity, and biodiversity recovery, alongside socio-economic revitalization through fisheries revival and community development. Findings reveal significant progress, with water volumes reaching 27 billion cubic meters and fish catches at 8,000 tons annually, though challenges like climate change persist. The analysis underscores the interplay between ecology and human geography, advocating for sustained regional cooperation.

**Keywords:** Aral Sea crisis, Ecological restoration, Kok-Aral Dam, Syr Darya inflows, Salinity reduction, Biodiversity recovery, Fisheries revitalisation-economic geography, Desertification control, Climate change impacts, Transboundary water management, regional cooperation, Sustainable development, Northern Aral Sea, Central Asia

## Introduction

The Aral Sea, once the fourth-largest lake globally, suffered drastic shrinkage due to Soviet-era irrigation diversions, losing over 90% of its volume by the 2000s and splitting into northern and southern sections. This crisis devastated ecosystems, economies, and health in Central Asia. In Kazakhstan, the northern Aral Sea became a focal point for restoration, epitomized by the 2005 Kok-Aral Dam, funded by the World Bank and Kazakh government. This project aimed to retain Syr Darya River inflows, fostering physical recovery and socio-economic renewal. The research question probes how such initiatives have reshaped the region's geography. Physical changes include water level rises and biodiversity resurgence, while socio-economic shifts encompass fishing industry revival and improved livelihoods. As of 2025, inflows have boosted volumes to record levels, signaling hope amid ongoing challenges like dust storms and water scarcity. This article synthesizes these transformations, highlighting Kazakhstan's role in mitigating a legacy of environmental mismanagement.

## Literature Review

Literature on the Aral Sea crisis emphasizes anthropogenic causes, such as river diversions for cotton irrigation, leading to ecological collapse and socio-economic decline. Early studies document biodiversity loss, with fish species dropping from 20 to none by the 1990s, and health issues from toxic dust. Restoration-focused works highlight the Kok-Aral Dam's success in the northern basin, noting water level increases and salinity reductions (Alieva et al., 2023; Anchita et al., 2021; Daldabauyeva et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023).

Recent analyses, including 2024-2025 reports, detail ongoing recovery: water volumes up 42% to 27 billion cubic meters, fish species returning to 22, and economic boosts from fisheries. Socio-economic literature links restoration to job creation and health improvements,

## Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

## Address for correspondence:

Rakesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Geography Murarka College, Sultanganj TMBU, Bhagalpur  
Email: [rkarakrak05@gmail.com](mailto:rkarakrak05@gmail.com)

## How to cite this article:

Kumar, R. (2025). The Aral Sea Crisis Revisited: Ecological Restoration and Its Impacts on Kazakhstan's Northern Aral Sea. Bulletin of Nexus, 2(8), 241–244. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17213151>

though vulnerabilities from climate change and upstream water use persist. Greening initiatives, like afforestation, are praised for combating desertification. Overall, scholarship frames the northern Aral as a model of partial revival, contrasting with the southern basin's continued degradation (Alikhanova et al., 2024; An et al., 2019; Kaipnazarov, 2021). Nevertheless, a comprehensive hydro-eco-social framework that jointly addresses ecological thresholds, livelihood restoration, and adaptive governance is still lacking in the scholarly discourse (Wang et al., 2023). Future research should therefore integrate nature-based solutions, such as dam-mediated water retention and afforestation, within a unified hydro-eco-social model to guide adaptive management in the northern Aral basin (Micklin & Luo, 2022) (Alikhanova & Bull, 2023).

### Methodology

This article employs a qualitative secondary data analysis, synthesizing information from academic articles, policy reports, and news sources accessed via web searches and page browsing as of September 2025. Key themes—physical geography and socio-economic geography—were identified through content analysis. Sources were selected for recency and diversity, ensuring balanced perspectives on Kazakhstani restoration efforts. Limitations include reliance on secondary data without fieldwork.

### Findings and Discussion

#### 1. Physical Reshaping: Water, Salinity, and Ecosystems

The Kok-Aral Dam, completed in 2005, has fundamentally altered the northern Aral Sea's physical geography by retaining Syr Darya inflows, preventing spillover to the southern basin. Water levels rose from 30 meters in 2003 to 42 meters by 2008, with surface area expanding from 2,804 km<sup>2</sup> to 3,300 km<sup>2</sup>. By 2025, volumes reached 27 billion cubic meters, a 42% increase since 2022, fueled by 5 billion cubic meters of inflows since 2023 and 1 billion more from October 2024 to January 2025. Area grew to 3,065 km<sup>2</sup>, up 111 km<sup>2</sup> in three years. Salinity plummeted from 30 g/L to 8 g/L, enabling biodiversity recovery. Fish species rebounded from one to 22-24, including carp, bream, and pike-perch, sourced from river refugia without introductions. Wetlands revived, supporting reeds, macrophytes like *Phragmites australis*, and bird habitats. Greening projects planted 475,000 hectares of saxaul and seedlings by 2024, combating desertification and moderating climate, with increased precipitation noted. These changes have reduced dust storms, improving air quality and halting seabed exposure.

#### 2. Counter-Arguments: Limited Restoration and Ongoing Vulnerabilities

Despite these successes, the physical restoration remains partial. The recovered area of the North Aral Sea, while significant locally, represents only about 5% of the original Aral Sea's surface area (Wang et al., 2023). This means the ecological disaster in the southern Aral Sea persists, with its highly saline environment and continued degradation (Alieva et al., 2023). Furthermore, the equilibrium of the Northern Aral Sea is fragile. It is highly dependent on the Syr Darya River's inflow, which is vulnerable to upstream water management decisions and increasing water demands in other Central Asian countries (Berndtsson & Tussupova, 2020; He et al., 2022; Kulmatov & Khasanov, 2023; Rafikov & Gulnora, 2014). The "uncoordinated use of reservoirs" in the broader region has historically caused environmental damage and social unrest (Rakhmatullaev et al., 2012), highlighting the delicate balance required to maintain the northern Aral's water levels. Climate change also poses a substantial threat, as it can impact freshwater inflow and potentially aggravate the long-term situation (Ayzel & Izhitskiy, 2019; Berndtsson & Tussupova, 2020).

#### 3. Socio-Economic Reshaping: Livelihoods and Communities

Restoration has revitalized socio-economic geography, particularly through fisheries revival. Catches surged from 695 tons in 2005 to 8,000 tons annually by 2025, supporting exports to Russia, Europe, and beyond. Processing centers expanded from one to over 20, creating jobs and stimulating construction and retail in towns like Aralsk. Villages such as Tastubek saw housing double, with new hotels and modernized infrastructure emerging.

Health improvements stem from reduced dust exposure, lowering respiratory issues and infant mortality rates from 2009-2019. Agriculture benefited from water-saving technologies, saving 200 million cubic meters for the sea and boosting rice yields to 70-80 centners per hectare, with subsidies up to 85%. Greening initiatives plan 1.1 million hectares by 2025, creating 2,000 jobs across sectors. The sea's proximity to Aralsk shrank from 100 km to 25 km, with canal plans for reconnection, fostering optimism and reversing outmigration. However, sustainability concerns linger due to upstream dependencies and climate risks.

#### 4. Counter-Arguments: Sustainability and Disparities in Socio-Economic Benefits

While the economic resurgence in the northern Aral region is commendable, questions about its long-term sustainability and equitable distribution

of benefits remain. The revival of fisheries, for example, is entirely reliant on the continued influx of freshwater from the Syr Darya. This dependence means that any future reductions in water flow due to climate change or increased upstream water abstraction could severely jeopardize these gains (Berndtsson & Tussupova, 2020; Chen et al., 2018). Historically, the region has suffered from pollution from industrial activities and past chemical and biological weapons tests, which contributed to health issues, and these historical legacies may still present challenges to full recovery (Alieva et al., 2023; Alimbaev et al., 2020).

Moreover, the focus on the northern Aral Sea implies that communities around the desiccated southern basin continue to face severe socio-economic hardships. The improvements seen in Kazakhstan are not mirrored across the entire former Aral Sea region, particularly in Uzbekistan, where land degradation, desertification, and food security issues persist, exacerbated by climate change (Alikhanova & Bull, 2023). The disparity in restoration efforts and outcomes creates an uneven recovery across the once-unified Aral Sea region, underscoring that the overall crisis is far from resolved.

#### **Broader Challenges and Sustainability Concerns**

The restoration of the northern Aral Sea offers a beacon of hope but also highlights persistent regional challenges. Transboundary water management remains a contentious issue in Central Asia, with a potential for conflict over shared water resources of the Syr Darya and Amu Darya rivers (Berndtsson & Tussupova, 2020; Nandalal & Hipel, 2007). The existing legal frameworks for regional cooperation require improvement to achieve sustainable long-term solutions for equitable water use (Libert & Lipponen, 2012). Rapid population growth alongside climate change further complicates water management, increasing the precariousness of the situation (Berndtsson & Tussupova, 2020). For instance, a paper from 2012 highlights that rivers like the Syr Darya are already highly regulated, and new upstream reservoir projects raise concerns for downstream countries like Kazakhstan (Rakhmatullaev et al., 2012). The long-term environmental and social stability of the region hinges not just on localized restoration projects but on comprehensive, cooperative strategies addressing these broader transboundary and climatic pressures. Constant monitoring by the scientific community is crucial to mitigate potential environmental risks in the Aral Sea basin (Ayzel & Izhitskiy, 2019).

#### **Conclusion**

Ecological restoration projects like the Kok-Aral Dam have profoundly reshaped Kazakhstan's northern Aral Sea, elevating water volumes, restoring ecosystems, and rejuvenating economies. Physical geography now features expanded waters and thriving biodiversity, while socio-economic landscapes boast revived fisheries, improved health, and job growth. Yet, full recovery demands ongoing cooperation amid climate threats. This case illustrates restoration's potential to transform crisis into resilience, informing global environmental strategies. However, the success of the Northern Aral Sea must be viewed within the context of the continued desiccation of the southern basin and the overarching challenges of transboundary water management and climate change in Central Asia. The long-term sustainability of these gains requires not only continued local efforts but also strengthened regional cooperation and adaptation to an increasingly arid future.

#### **Acknowledgment**

I am deeply grateful to my colleagues, mentors, and peers for their valuable insights, constructive comments, and constant encouragement throughout the preparation of this paper. I sincerely thank the Department of Geography, Murarka College, Sultanganj (TMBU, Bhagalpur), for providing academic resources and institutional support. I also acknowledge the contributions of researchers and authors whose works have been cited and which form the foundation of this study. Finally, I extend heartfelt thanks to my family and friends for their patience, motivation, and unwavering support that sustained me during this research.

#### **Financial support**

Nil

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### **References**

1. Alieva, D., Usmonova, G., Shadmanov, S., & Aktamov, S. (2023). Fishery culture, sustainable resources usage and transformations needed for local community development: the case of Aral Sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1285618>
2. Alikhanova, S., & Bull, J. W. (2023). Review of Nature-based Solutions in Dryland Ecosystems: the Aral Sea Case Study [Review of *Review of Nature-based Solutions in Dryland Ecosystems: the Aral Sea Case Study*]. *Environmental Management*, 72(3), 457. Springer

- Science+Business Media.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-023-01822-z>
3. Alikhanova, S., Milner-Gulland, E. J., & Bull, J. W. (2024). Exploring the human-nature nexus towards effective nature-based solutions: the Aral Sea case. *Land Use Policy*, 139, 107073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2024.107073>
  4. An, J., Chang, H., Han, S. H., Khamzina, A., & Son, Y. (2019). Changes in basic soil properties and enzyme activities along an afforestation series on the dry Aral Sea Bed, Kazakhstan. *Forest Science and Technology*, 16(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21580103.2019.1705401>
  5. Anchita, A., Zhupankhan, A., Khaibullina, Z., Kabiyeve, Y., Persson, K. M., & Tussupova, K. (2021). Health Impact of Drying Aral Sea: One Health and Socio-Economical Approach. *Water*, 13(22), 3196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13223196>
  6. Ayzel, G., & Izhitskiy, A. (2019). Climate Change Impact Assessment on Freshwater Inflow into the Small Aral Sea. *Water*, 11(11), 2377. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11112377>
  7. Berndtsson, R., & Tussupova, K. (2020). The Future of Water Management in Central Asia. *Water*, 12(8), 2241. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12082241>
  8. Daldabaueva, G., Nurymova, R. D., Baizhanova, B., & Akhanov, S. (2020). Socio-economic evaluation of the reclaimed dry bottom during the development of the Kazakhstan part of the Aral Sea. *Zemljiste i Biljka*, 69(1), 36. <https://doi.org/10.5937/zemobilj2001036d>
  9. He, J., Yu, Y., Sun, L., Zhang, H., Malik, I., Wistuba, M., & Yu, R. (2022). Spatiotemporal change in the land use and ecosystem service value in the Aral Sea basin (1993–2018). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(49), 74416. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-21094-0>
  10. Kaipnazarov, A. Sh. (2021). Historical Aspects Of The Environmental Policy Strategy In The Field Of Sustainable Development Of The Southern Aral Sea Region At The Present Stage. *The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations*, 3(1), 110. <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajssei/volume03issue01-21>
  11. Kulmatov, R., & Khasanov, S. (2023). Contemporary climate change problems in Central Asia. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 413, 5013. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202341305013>
  12. Micklin, P., & Luo, P. (2022). Editorial for Special Issue “Advances in the Ecohydrology of Arid Lands.” *Hydrology*, 9(2), 33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology9020033>
  13. Rafikov, V. A., & Gulnora, M. (2014). Forecasting changes of hydrological and hydrochemical conditions in the Aral Sea. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*, 5(3), 55. <https://doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1246.2014.03055>
  14. Wang, X., Zhang, J., Wang, S., Ge, Y., Duan, Z., Sun, L., Meadows, M. E., Luo, Y., Fu, B., Chen, X., Huang, Y., Ma, X., & Abuduwalli, J. (2023). Reviving the Aral Sea: A Hydro-Eco-Social Perspective. *Earth's Future*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023ef003657>