Bulletin of Nexus
Multidisciplinary International Research Journal, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed, Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3065-7865 / Volume-2, Issue-8 August - 2025

Original Article

Green Banking and Sustainable Finance: Mechanisms, Impacts, and Future Directions for Climate-Resilient Economies

Prity Sharma
Research Scholar (JRF), University Department of Commerce & Business Management, Ranchi University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Manuscript ID:
BN-2025-020836

ISSN: 3065-7865

Volume 2

Issue 8

August 2025

Pp174-181

Submitted: 18 July 2025

Revised: 27 July 2025

Accepted: 16 Aug 2025

Published: 31 Aug 2025

DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.17191978
DOI link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17191978

Abstract

Green banking has proven to be a new way of financial intermediary that fuses environmental sustainability to the banking activities and lending operations. The paper will give an in-depth evaluation of how green banking evolved, how it works, and the effects it has on a global scale, especially the possible role of green banking in helping to transition to low-carbon. Exploiting varied institutional examples, financing mechanisms, and empirical studies, the exploration finds that green banks have been instrumental in galvanizing the mobilization of private capital into renewable energy, energy efficiency, and climate-related projects that tend to encounter barriers in the conventional financing. This evidence indicates that it is not only through carbon emission reduction that green banking produces a positive economic performance but also under the condition where it is supported by favorable policies; the so-called environmental spending. However, difficulties in terms of governance constraints, scaling, and non-standard measurement regimes still curtail its output. To help overcome these fears, the paper is presenting a framework that focuses on policy innovation, technological development and international cooperation. The study in general outlines the importance of the immediate attention of financial institutions in the flow of capital to the sustainable development objectives and sets guidelines which can be used by policymakers, regulators and banking organizations to leverage the benefits environmental and financial.

Keywords: *green banking, sustainable finance, climate finance, environmental performance, carbon emissions, financial innovation*

Introduction

Along with the growing climate crisis, the role of financial institutions has fundamentally changed in facing what could be considered the greatest market failure ever witnessed in human history.With the current increase in global temperatures at an unprecedented rate and carbon emissions globally only rising despite decades of policy strategies and international negotiations, the financial sector has become a potential leverage point in starting the process towards a low-carbon economy.Green banking is an innovative system of financial intermediation that incorporates environmental principles into its banking practices and decision-making processes, establishing potential mechanisms to allocate capital toward development priorities related to environmental sustainability.This paradigm shift acknowledges that to deal with climate change, in addition to technological developments and policy changes, we need a long-term shift in how money can be spent across the world economy.

This transition should be taken in a hurry Nowadays, such data shows that global energy transition investment hit a record of 2.1 trillion dollars in the year 2024, that is an 11 percent year-over-year increase. Although this has seen some advance, investment in the area is still not enough to meet the determinants of Paris Agreement and according to assessment, the climate finance must increase at least thrice by 2030 in an effort to maintain the 1.5 goal of attaining the temperature. Banking represents the largest potential source of climate funding, in the sense that its activities already include control of much of this amount of assets; when climate goals are explicitly taken into account, climate funding opportunities exist in many parts of the banking sector.

Address for correspondence:

Prity Sharma Research Scholar (JRF), University Department of Commerce & Business Management, Ranchi University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India
Email: *pritysharma123456987@gmail.com*

How to cite this article:

Sharma, P. (2025). Green Banking and Sustainable Finance: Mechanisms, Impacts, and Future Directions for Climate-Resilient Economies. Bulletion of Nexus, 2(8), 174–181.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17191978

The concept of sustainable finance has moved beyond the sphere of niche practice to increasingly permeate the operations of financial institutions across the world as a result of the escalating pressure of regulations, the demands of clients, and the realization of the significant threats that climate change poses to conventional modes of operation. The idea of green banking can be divided into both internal process optimization to minimize their environmental impact and external lending that would enable environmental efficiency. These are specialist lending instruments to renewable energy and energy efficiency projects, green bonds that have sustainability-linked or impact components, environmental risk screening formalities and exclusions of lines high-carbon activities. In addition to mitigating climate change, green banking has a potential that provides the financial institutions a platform to reach new markets, positively impact their brand perception as well as to engage in sound risk management practices.

This paper is a detailed discussion of the theoretical premises, mechanization, and empirical effects of green banking performances across the globe. Using various geographical and institutional settings, the analysis is done in the manner in which financial institutions are incorporating the aspect of the environment in their operation and the consequences to environmental outcome, carbon emitting and the effect on the economy. The study fills important gaps in the literature on green banks and green finance in general, comparing well-developed and developing countries, describing the linkage between methods of financing the environment and its performance, and establishing the most efficient pathways of scaling green finance.The gained results have certain implications on various discussions related to sustainable finance that explicitly demonstrate how well, a shareholder engagement strategy has worked as well as how effective the link between green financing and green emission intensity is and what institutional architecture is the most appropriate so as to induce the transition into a climate-resilient financial system. The synthesis of the evidence on the matter across various fields and jurisdictions offers practical advice to policymakers, financial regulators, and banking institutions on how to improve the performance of various green finance initiatives and speed up the movement toward global sustainability ambitions.

Literature Review

Recent researches highlight the great role of green banking and financial creativity to the advancement of sustainability results

A. In this respect, **Khan et al. (2025)** noted that the environmental performance level in Indian commercial banks improved markedly because of green banking approaches, especially when facilitated by regulation policies and robust functioning system. It follows that banks like the SBI and HDFC are in a good position to utilize sustainability initiatives as a form of compliance as well as competitive differentiator.
B. Similarly, **Rasheed et al. (2025)** stated that of green financing mediated the impact of mediums of the existence green banking practices and the environmental performance, which supports the assertion that appropriate videotaping of green financing is crucial towards realizing the objective of sustainability.
C. **Hussain et al. (2024)** expressed that green finance is enhanced by financial innovation, as it increases access to spread green products and markets. According to them, when the banks combine their innovative financial instruments with green financing, a better correlation between their profitability and environmental concern is reached. Collectively, these studies denote the importance of both organized green financing and cutting-edge financial solutions in the ability of banks to meet sustainability targets and be driven at the same time competitive.
D. According to **Zhang et al. (2022)**, the green banking operates work improves the environmental performance of the banks, and green financing is one of the channels mediating the connection between the internal sustainability solutions to the quantifiable environmental impact. They indicate that deploying capital specifically in environmentally valuable projects has the benefits of improving environmental performance and, in addition, makes it align with the strategic goals of banks.
E. Likewise, **Goncharenko and Shapoval (2021)** admit that financing eco-innovations is the key to building a green economy in the conditions of globalization, as this encourages technological progress and minimizes environmental hazards. There are other recent studies that validate such findings and provide additional information.
F. According to **Biswas (2011)**, the concept of green banking can be defined as the business model that incorporates environmental and social elements in the financial decision-process. It has come to

be more widely understood that banks can have an active role to play in environmental sustainability by developing innovative products, internal reforms, and interaction with the clients.

Geographical and Institutional Variations

There is a large disparity between green banking strategies in geographical and institutional settings. The findings of this research have distinguished two models resulting in the developed economies with detailed regulation frames and the developed markets of the environmental financial instruments. Comparative analyses of emerging economies have raised other issues such as poor regulatory framework capacity, low development of financial markets and other competing interests of development.

Table 1: Comparative Green Banking Models Across Select Regions

Region	Primary Drivers	Typical Instruments	Key Challenges
North America	Market demand, investor pressure	Green bonds, sustainability-linked loans, carbon trading	Regulatory uncertainty, political opposition
European Union	Regulatory mandates, policy frameworks	Green mortgages, project finance, transition bonds	Standardization, reporting requirements
Asia-Pacific	Government policies, air pollution concerns	Infrastructure finance, green credit lines	Capacity constraints, risk assessment
Developing Economies	International commitments, development funding	Microfinance, concessional lending, guarantee mechanisms	Access to capital, technical expertise

Source-Compiled by the researcher

Theoretical Framework

The rationales of development and implementation of green banking practices are based on several inter-related theoretical perspectives that serve to explain the motivations to adopt the practices as well as their potential effects on the environment and the financial performance. This section presents the main theoretical frameworks on which our analysis is based and produces testable statements about the links between the green banking mechanisms and their consequences.

1 Socially Responsible Investment Theory

The SRI theory also plays a key role in understanding green banking as investment concept focused on achieving the profit and social and environmental responsibility. It proposes that banks must consider the implications of their decisions on profitability as well as the macro-economic impacts of their lending and investment practices where these activities have not reflected in full the environmental cost. Green banking can take the role of a rectifying instrument as the externalities concerning the environment are being internalized into the decisions. According to the theory, these practices enhance environmental performance in three crucial ways, by channeling resources to projects that are likely to be environmental friendly, and constraining the resources that can be used in negative environmental activities such as oil spillages, through compulsion by borrowers to embrace better environmental behaviors and through the provision of market signals to indicate clear commitment to environmental friendly business practices.Financial institutions that incorporate environmental standards more systematically in their lending practices should be able to derive greater sustainability and yet not compromise on their financial performance.

Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory proposes that organizations need to meet the expectations of a variety of groups (e.g., regulators, investors, customers, employees, and communities) in order to continue to be legitimate and have access to needed resources. In respect with the green banking, such a point of view implies that environmental issues tend to be influenced by external factors, where regulatory pressures lead to the mostly required initiatives and market players favor rather those based on voluntary and competitive approach. It is likely that a more-emphasized stakeholder engagement causes a bank to implement more sustainability measures, and country differences indicate higher ranges of interest and strength of these pressures.

Institutional Theory

Institutional theory describes the way that organizations respond so that their practice can be adjusted to regulatory controls, industry practices, and prevailing frameworks in order to gain legitimacy and thereby maintain survival. In green banking, this point of view explains why different institutions tend to arrive at similar policy guidelines and standards of disclosure upon having facing regulatory and professional compulsions. The three key drivers of such conformity outlined in the theory are coercive isomorphism, which is formed in the regulation-based context, mimetic isomorphism, when banks copy their peers based on the uncertainty, and normative isomorphism,

which responds to the professional regulations and industry conventions. In this perspective, financial institutions that are in-bedded in better regulatory and professional textures stand a higher chance of engaging in substantive green banking.

Green Bank Models

Green banks are specialized lending institutions that are created to fund the environmental-benefit aligned projects that otherwise experience various barriers to receiving conventional forms of funding. The advantages of applying public capital are that banks can leverage in attracting the interest of the private sector into fields like renewable energy, energy efficiency, and other climate-friendly incentives with innovative methods of financing that will reduce the risks and increase the returns. They are considered to be public, quasi-public or nonprofit organizations that both attract and directly fund clean energy projects intended to limit emissions in the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

These banks typically have shared defining characteristics: they are mission-driven and have a defined mandate to increase the adoption of clean energy, and employ financial approaches that are aimed at maximizing leverage and bringing in Private investment.

Table 2: Green Bank Models and Characteristics

Model Type	Governance Structure	Funding Sources	Advantages	Examples
Government Agency	Direct government control	Public appropriations, bonds	Policy alignment, stability	Massachusetts Clean Energy Center
Quasi-Public	Independent board with public oversight	Ratepayer funds, public capital	Flexibility, market responsiveness	Connecticut Green Bank
Nonprofit	Independent board	Philanthropy, private capital, grants	Innovation, efficiency	Michigan Saves
Public-Private Partnership	Joint governance	Mixed public and private capital	Risk sharing, scalability	NY Green Bank

Source-*compiled by the researcher*

Financing Mechanisms and Instruments

Green banks and other financial institutions of the same caliber deploy various financing applications to achieve their environmental objectives and each of these mechanisms is directed to hurdle the obstacles, which are limiting the contribution of the private sector as regards financing clean energy and sustainability projects. Credit enhancement is one of the most common methods, which lowers the lending risk by means of, for example, loan guarantees, loss reserves, and subordinated debt. Every dollar of government expenditure on credit enhancements can yield up to ten dollars of new investment on clean energy by the private sector. Green banks co-invest with private lenders to boost capital flow and attract investors. They often subordinate market creditors, allowing them to acquire profits and collaterals. To mitigate scale, institutions use warehousing and portfolio structures, combining smaller projects into large-scale portfolios. Some green banks form secondary markets for green loans, increasing liquidity and promoting sustainable projects.

Global Case Studies in Green Banking

The implementation of green banking philosophy has led to emergence of varieties of models that vary between countries and institutions. The following section looks back at some of these case studies where various models have been used & how various models have had impact on both environmental performance & financial performance.

United States Models

The existence of the green banking institution in the United States is believed to be inspired by a decentralized energy policy system, which has led to the birth of several state and local green banking establishments there. The Connecticut Green Bank (established in 2011 as the first state green bank) has grown into a model on which other green banks across the country are being based. As a quasi-public body, it combines ratepayer investment with private sector capital to offer long-term financing at affordable rates on clean energy developments in residential, commercial and industrial categories. Since its inception, the institution has leveraged about 70 billion dollars in clean energy investments at a ratio of slightly over seven dollars in the hands of the private sector as compared to each dollar invested by the government.

European Approaches

European nations have led in the development of several types of green banking, which may be bundled into general climate response and sustainable development policies. So that the European Investment Bank (EIB) has attracted

attention as a global leader in climate finance, now planning to invest 1 trillion euros in climate measures and environmental sustainability investments between 2021 and 2030.

Several European nations integrate green banking into development finance institutions. In Germany, KfW Bankengruppe, a state-owned development bank founded in 1948 under the Marshall Plan—has supported renewable energy and energy efficiency projects since the 1990s by leveraging its strong credit rating to provide low-cost financing. Its green loan initiatives have funded over half a million building retrofits and contributed to nearly half of Germany's installed solar capacity, reflecting its role in advancing the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

Asian Innovations

Asian nations have introduced their own green banking policies in response to rapid growth and environmental challenges. In 2011, Bangladesh's central bank mandated commercial banks to create green banking units and dedicate part of their lending to eco-friendly projects, boosting investments in renewable energy, waste management, and efficiency initiatives. Similarly, China developed the world's largest green credit market through incentives, regulations & performance-linked evaluations for bank executives. Green Credit Guidelines require banks to assess environmental risks and expand green financing, resulting in over $1.5 trillion in loans-around 10% of total lending.

Developing Economy Contexts

Emerging economies face challenges in adopting green banking due to weak regulations, underdeveloped financial markets, and conflicting development priorities. In India, private banks adopt green practices competitively, while public banks focus on meeting regulations, though issues like limited expertise and poor risk assessment remain. In Kenya, mobile banking supports renewable energy access, with M-KOPA Solar delivering solar power to over a million rural households, showing how technology can enable green banking in constrained financial systems.

Comparative Analysis

Cross-case comparisons show that green banking practices vary by context. In developed economies with strong financial systems, market-driven approaches dominate, while in emerging economies regulatory mandates are more effective due to weaker voluntary adoption. The studies reveal that local conditions shape green banking models-federal systems foster diverse, locally adapted approaches, whereas centralized systems favor uniform, regulation-based models. Similarly, countries with poor environmental performance often adopt more interventionist policies, reflecting a stronger societal emphasis on environmental concerns.

Empirical Evidence and Impact Assessment

The faster the world globalizes the green banking practices the more amount of information has been generated as to how the banking practices have impacted on our environment and economy. In this section, there will be an in-depth assessment of the effectiveness of green banking in the achievement of its stated objectives through an examination of empirical data of a number of studies carried out in independent countries.

Environmental Performance Impacts

Research carried out to establish relation between environment performance and green bank practice have found that there were positive correlations of number of areas. Green funding reduces carbon dioxide emissions by a significant margin particularly with the addition of republican government investment in the environment. The study reveals green financing instruments effectively allocate funds to climate friendly projects that minimize emissions. Importantly, greater investments in environmental cushioning can enhance the role of green finance in reducing emissions suggesting possible synergies between government and private investments in climate finance.

The present study points to the significant impact of green banking practices on the environmental performance and the source of green finance of banks. The sources of green finance mediate the correlation between environmental performance and green banking practices implying that the financing process of green banking is an important conduit through which green banking influences environmental outcomes.

Financial Performance Implications

The investigations into the impact of so called eco conscious bank shareholders in the granting of loans has revealed that voluntary shareholder strategies are not that effective in promoting truly green lending. The study compared syndicated bank loans between the years 2010 and 2020 and greenhouse gas data collected at firm level to ascertain that there was no significant relationship between the carbon intensity of the loan portfolio and owners of the banks that were signatories to UN principles to responsible investment. These findings suggest that voluntary programs that do not come with any regulation may not make much difference in the lending practices of banks.

Social and Distributional Impacts

Research highlights the social impacts of green banking, especially in supporting low-middle-income communities. In U.S, green banks have

expanded access to sustainable energy through outreach initiatives and tailored financial products. For instance, the Connecticut Green Bank offers programs that assist low-income households by easing credit requirements and extending loan terms, making renewable energy solutions more affordable and accessible.

Green banking methods have a great potential to augment the energy access in rural regions where the normal grid expansion will not be economically viable as found in the developing countries. The availability of finances to support distributed renewable energy systems in off-grid villages has increased because the Bangladesh green banking regulations require banks to allocate a percentage of their loans portfolio to the environment. These programs have enhanced the availability of energy and reduced the carbon emission simultaneously showing how green banking can be utilized to achieve multiple sustainable development goals simultaneously.

Challenges and Future Research

The development, and to date implementation of green banking initiatives have made significant steps towards advancing their use but a number of hindrances remain that curb their effectiveness and adoption. This discussion examines the most important barriers to the green banking development and identifies the possible directions of further research and practice.

Regulatory and Governance Issues

The following are some of the governance challenges facing the green banking institutions; such as muddled mandates, lack of operation independence, and political interference in decision-making. Strengths in finding a balance between market-oriented approaches and goals of public policy are often hard to achieve and such a dilemma tends to decrease effectiveness. Besides, the regulatory systems sometimes fail to be specific in setting allowed practices and risk-control measures which brings in ambiguity that impairs the innovation and development.

Future initiatives should define specific mandates that can create connections between established environmental aims to create flexibility in responding to the changing market conditions. Regulatory guidelines should be both more transparent as to the expectations of risk management and less prescriptive in a way which may cripple innovation. Furthermore, smooth feedback mechanisms that hold the condition of green banking institutions accountable must be instilled into the governance system since this establishes a strong sense of commitment in these institutions to their green banking missions.

Financial Sustainability Constraints

Financial sustainability is a key challenge for green banks, especially in their early stages, due to limited seed capital, unstable income, political influences, and higher exposure to risky markets, which often creates dependence on state subsidies. To address this, long-term funding mechanisms are needed, combining initial capitalization with stable revenue streams. Possible approaches include sharing in project energy savings, charging advisory fees & securitizing strong loan portfolios to recycle funds. At the same time, adopting robust risk management frameworks is essential to ensure adequate capitalization and long-term stability.

Scalability and Market Transformation Barriers

Green banks work to channel finance into sustainable initiatives but remain smaller in scale compared to traditional institutions, limiting their wider impact. Although pilot projects have shown success, expansion is often hindered by regulations, resource limitations, and market challenges. To address this, future efforts should focus on scalable models that use limited public funding to attract more private capital. Suggested strategies include creating standardized financial products, partnering with mainstream banks to extend reach, building secondary markets for better liquidity, and fostering conditions where sustainable finance can operate without constant public funding

Policy Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, some policy actions can be used to enhance the effects of green banking initiatives. Accounting practices, first, regulators need to have comprehensive frameworks that describe everything green clearly & be able to remain flexible to favor innovation and to react to the changes in the market. They also require elaborate disclosure and reporting standards as part of the framework to avoid greenwashing.

Second, governments ought to inject initial capital seed so that green banks are launched, though the government should also assist in gaining independence among these banks as well. This is in the acknowledgement of a necessity in the early period of gaining the support of the populace but it also prioritizes the creation of long-term sustainability.

Third, green banking ought to be highly integrated with the other general policy instruments including energy efficiency requirements, renewable energy subsidies and carbon taxation. The effectiveness of each policy can generate synergies, optimizing the effectiveness of any policy and not duplicating or creating conflicts.

Lastly, equity issues need to be taken care of through a uniform distribution with the help of green banking within society. Initiatives aimed at low and moderate households, exclusive measures

to serve under-represented markets and acceptance of comprehensive eligibility criteria can ensure that transition to clean energy addresses social and environmental improvement.

Conclusion

Green banking is becoming a key driver of financing for sustainable development and the shift to a low-carbon economy. By integrating environmental considerations into financial practices, it offers both climate solutions and economic opportunities. This review explored its theoretical basis, institutional frameworks, and regional models, showing that green banking delivers environmental and financial benefits while also addressing market shortcomings. Financial markets are also facing pressure to help in shifting towards a more sustainable economy as environmental stress rises along with man-made climate change. Green banking aligns financial resources with environmental goals by supporting projects that cut emissions, build resilience, and foster economic growth, showing that sustainability and profitability can go hand in hand. However, challenges remain in governance, financial viability, impact assessment, and scalability. Overcoming these barriers requires collaboration among policymakers, regulators, financial institutions, and civil society, along with continuous innovation in financial products, risk frameworks, and business models. Overall, success depends on transparent regulation, robust financing mechanisms, and flexible green banking practices. The findings highlight important lessons for policymakers, regulators, and financial institutions, stressing the need for transparent regulations, strong financing tools, and adaptable green banking practices. When effectively designed, green banking can deliver dual benefits: advancing climate and environmental goals while also generating positive financial outcomes that support long-term business growth. With the clock already racing towards the COP30 UN climate summit in November, green banking is a pivotal new tool to make funding of climate solutions speedier and more proficient. Based on international best practice and lessons learned, financial institutions can add significant value in helping solve climate change problems, in a way that adds long-term value to both stakeholders and society.

Acknowledgement

I express my deep sense of gratitude to my research supervisor, faculty members, and peers at Ranchi University, Department of Commerce & Business Management, for their valuable guidance, encouragement, and constructive feedback throughout the course of this study.

I am thankful to all the authors, scholars, and researchers whose works have been referred to in this paper. Their contributions have been invaluable in enriching the quality of my research.

Finally, I owe my heartfelt appreciation to my family and well-wishers for their constant support, patience, and motivation, which have been a source of strength in completing this work.

Financial support

Nil

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Ahmad, F., & Bashir, U. (2025). Green finance and FinTech adoption: Examining the mediating effects of financial literacy and perceived financial benefit in sustainable banking. Social Science Review Archives, 3(3), 511–524. https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i3.884
2. Bekun, F. V., Alola, A. A., & Sarkodie, S. A. (2019). Toward a sustainable environment: Nexus between CO2 emissions, resource rent, renewable and nonrenewable energy in 16-EU countries. Science of the Total Environment, 657, 1023–1029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.104
3. BloombergNEF. (2025). Energy transition investment trends 2025. https://about.bnef.com/energy-transition-investment/
4. Coalition for Green Capital. (2025). What is a green bank. https://coalitionforgreencapital.com/what-is-a-green-bank/
5. Goncharenko, N., & Shapoval, V. (2021). Eco-innovation financing as an element of a "green" economy formation in the globalization conditions of sustainable development. Green, Blue and Digital Economy Journal, 2(2), 15–23. https://doi.org/10.30525/2661-5169/2021-2-2
6. Hussain, S., Rasheed, A., & Rehman, S. U. (2024). Driving sustainable growth: Exploring the link between financial innovation, green finance and sustainability performance: Banking evidence. Kybernetes, 53(11), 4678–4696. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-04-2022-0544
7. Gu, G., Zheng, H., & Tong, L. (2021). Does green credit policy affect corporate debt financing? Evidence from China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(4), 5162–5171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16038-z

8. IEA. (2024). World energy investment 2024. International Energy Agency. https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-investment-2024
9. Khan, M. A., Khan, M. A., & Bashir, U. (2025). Green banking practices and environmental performance: Navigating sustainability in banks. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 31, 23211–23226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-32418-7
10. Muganyi, T., Yan, L., & Sun, H. (2021). Green finance, fintech, and environmental protection: Evidence from China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28(40), 56963–56976. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14648-1
11. Rasheed, F. A., Anwar, S., & Khan, M. A. (2025). Measuring the impact of green banking practices on banks' environmental performance and sources of green financing: A study on Indian banks. Sustainable Environment, 6(1), 169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00678-5
12. Sohail, M. T., Ullah, S., Majeed, M. T., & Usman, A. (2024). Green finance and carbon emissions: Does increased environmental expenditure enhance the effectiveness of green finance in reducing carbon emissions? Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18(2), 90. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18020090
13. Wang, X., & Zhao, H. (2025). How does green finance affect carbon emission intensity? The role of green technology innovation and internet development. Journal of Environmental Management, 305, 114357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114357
14. Zhang, K., Li, Y., & Qi, Y. (2022). Can green credit policy improve environmental quality? Evidence from China. Journal of Environmental Management, 298, 113445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113445
15. Zhao, J., & Wang, W. (2025). Nexus between green financing and carbon emissions: Does increased environmental expenditure enhance the effectiveness of green finance in reducing carbon emissions? Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18(2), 90. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18020090
16. Zhang, X., Wang, Z., Zhong, X., Yang, S., & Siddik, A. B. (2022). Do green banking activities improve the banks' environmental performance? The mediating effect of green financing. Sustainability, 14(2), 989.